

Calgary Assessment Review Board DECISION WITH REASONS

In the matter of the complaint against the property assessment as provided by the *Municipal Government Act*, Chapter M-26, Section 460, Revised Statutes of Alberta 2000 (the Act).

between:

4S Holdings Ltd., (as represented by Linnell Taylor Assessment Strategies), COMPLAINANT

and

The City Of Calgary, RESPONDENT

before:

R. Fegan, PRESIDING OFFICER
H. Ang, BOARD MEMBER
P. Charuk, BOARD MEMBER

This is a complaint to the Calgary Assessment Review Board in respect of a property assessment prepared by the Assessor of The City of Calgary and entered in the 2013 Assessment Roll as follows:

ROLL NUMBER:

079001095

LOCATION ADDRESS:

337 17 AV SW

FILE NUMBER:

71952

ASSESSMENT:

\$2,970,000

(Amended Notice)

This complaint was heard on the 16th day of July, 2013 at the office of the Assessment Review Board located at Floor Number 3, 1212 – 31 Avenue NE, Calgary, Alberta, Boardroom 11.

Appeared on behalf of the Complainant:

D. Sheridan, (Linnell Taylor Assessment Strategies)

Appeared on behalf of the Respondent:

C. Fox (City of Calgary)

Board's Decision in Respect of Procedural or Jurisdictional Matters:

- [1] The Complainant raised the issue of late disclosure. The Complainant did not receive the Respondent's evidence disclosure in accordance with the regulations. The Respondent acknowledged that the disclosure had been sent on July 2 to an incorrect e-mail address and was not sent to the correct address until the following day, July 03 2013.
- [2] After reviewing AR310/2009, 9 (1) "A composite assessment review board must not hear any evidence that has not been disclosed in accordance with section 8" the Board did not hear any evidence from the Respondent's late disclosure.

Property Description:

[3] The subject property is a 42 year old two storey retail/office building located in the community of Mission within the Beltline.

Issues:

- [4] The significant increase in assessment from 2012 to 2013 (\$1,880,000 to \$2,970,000) is not supported by market evidence.
- [5] The capitalization rate of 5.25% applied to the subject property is too low.

Requested Value: \$2,220,000.

Board's Decision:

The complaint is denied and the assessment is confirmed at \$2,970,000.

Position of the Parties

Complainant's Position:

[6] The Complainant provided a capitalization rate study using three sales. Two of these

sales were located on Centre Street NW and one sale was located at 511 10 ST SW.

[7] The Complainant provided information regarding the similarity between the sold properties and the subject property.

Respondent's Position:

- [8] The Respondent pointed out through questions to the Complainant that the Complainant had taken the net operating income, used to calculate the capitalization rate, from the 2013 assessment explanation sheets regardless of the year of the sale.
- [9] The Respondent argued that the fact that the net income used by the Complainant was not taken from the year in which the sale took place invalidated the study.
- [10] The Respondent argued that two of the three sales used in the Complainant's capitalization rate study were located on Centre Street NW., and were not indicative of capitalization rates in the Beltline.

Board's Reasons for Decision:

- [11] The Board found that the Complainant's capitalization rate study was flawed as a result of not using the net income from the sale year, and further the Board found that the use of sales from outside the immediate area of the Beltline was not reflective of capitalization rates in the Beltline.
- [12] In summary the Board found that the Complainant had provided insufficient evidence to warrant a change to the capitalization rate.

DATED AT THE CITY OF CALGARY THIS 20 DAY OF AVGUST 2013.

R. Fegan

Presiding Officer

APPENDIX "A"

DOCUMENTS PRESENTED AT THE HEARING AND CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD:

NO.	ITEM		
1. C1	Complainant Disclosure		

An appeal may be made to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction with respect to a decision of an assessment review board.

Any of the following may appeal the decision of an assessment review board:

- (a) the complainant;
- (b) an assessed person, other than the complainant, who is affected by the decision;
- (c) the municipality, if the decision being appealed relates to property that is within the boundaries of that municipality;
- (d) the assessor for a municipality referred to in clause (c).

An application for leave to appeal must be filed with the Court of Queen's Bench within 30 days after the persons notified of the hearing receive the decision, and notice of the application for leave to appeal must be given to

- (a) the assessment review board, and
- (b) any other persons as the judge directs.

CARB Identifier Codes

Decision No. CARB 71952-P		Roll No. 079001095		
Complaint Type	Property Type	Property Sub-Type	Issue	Sub-Issue
CARB	Commercial	Retail/Office	Market Value	Cap Rate

FOR MGB ADMINISTRATIVE USE ONLY